3. Integration



3-1. Antiderivatives and the indefinite integral

Example 3.1. What function has, as a derivative, the function

$$f(x) = x^5 + 3x - 1?$$

Solution. The question asks to find F(x) such that F'(x) = f(x). As a warm-up, take the derivative of f(x). It is

$$f'(x) = 5x^4 + 3.$$

This doesn't actually help, but reminds us how to differentiate.

Differentiating a power of x, say x^n , is done by multiplying by the power and lowering the power by 1, i.e.,

$$\frac{d}{dx}x^n = nx^{n-1}.$$

To go backwards, we must therefore divide by the power plus one and then raise the power by one. So, we can take F to be

$$F(x) = \frac{1}{6}x^{6} + \frac{3}{2}x^{2} - x.$$

Note that this isn't the only solution, for example we could instead take

$$F(x) = \frac{1}{6}x^{6} + \frac{3}{2}x^{2} - x + 2,$$

▲ □ ▶ ▲ 쿱 ▶ ▲ 클 ▶ ▲ 클 ▶ 월 269/424

because the derivative of a constant (2 in this case) is 0.

Proposition 3.2. Suppose that f(x) is a function and F(x), G(x) are functions which satisfy

$$F'(x) = f(x)$$
, and $G'(x) = f(x)$.

Then F(x) - G(x) is a constant.

Proof. Set H(x) = F(x) - G(x). Then H'(x) = F'(x) - G'(x) = f(x) - f(x) = 0.

We must show that H(x) is a constant, i.e., that H(x) = H(y) for all x, y. For this, suppose that x < y. By the Mean Value Theorem, there exists $z \in (x, y)$ such that

$$H(y) - H(x) = H'(z)(y - x).$$

Since H'(z) = 0, it follows that H(x) = H(y).

Definition 3.3. If f(x) is a function, an antiderivative of f(x) is any function F(x) such that

$$F'(x) = f(x)$$

as functions. In this case, we write

$$\int f(x) dx = F(x) + C$$
, C is any constant.

This is called the indefinite integral of f(x);

- f(x) is the integrand,
- \blacktriangleright x is the variable of integration,
- ▶ and C is the constant of integration.

(By the previous proposition, this is the general form of an antiderivative of f(x).)

Example 3.4. Evaluate the indefinite integral

$$\int x^3 + 3x \, dx.$$

Solution. First we find a function F(x) such that

$$F'(x) = x^3 + 3x.$$

As in the previous example, we can see that the function

$$F(x) = \frac{1}{4}x^4 + \frac{3}{2}x^2$$

works. Thus, the indefinite integral is

$$\int x^3 + 3x \, dx = \frac{1}{4}x^4 + \frac{3}{2}x^2 + C, \quad C \text{ any constant}$$

Note: it is important to remember to write dx at the end of the integral, for two reasons:

(i) The dx tells us where the integrand stops. If you don't write it, the meaning of what you've written is ambiguous. For example, if we wrote

$$\int x^3 - 3x^2 + 5,$$

it isn't clear if we mean

$$\int x^3 - 3x^2 + 5 \, dx = \frac{1}{4}x^4 - x^3 + 5x + C,$$

$$\int x^3 - 3x^2 \, dx + 5 = \frac{1}{4}x^4 - x^3 + C + 5, \quad \text{or}$$

$$\int x^3 \, dx - 3x^2 + 5 = \frac{1}{4}x^4 + C - 3x^2 + 5.$$

◆□ → < □ → < 三 → < 三 → < 三 → 273/424</p>

(ii) The dx tells us the variable of integration (in this case, x). We are allowed to do integration with different variables of integration (and this will soon be important). While

$$\int 3x^2 \, dx = x^3 + C,$$

we likewise have

$$\int 3t^2 \, dt = t^3 + C.$$

In some cases, we might have multiple variables, but only one can be the variable of integration – the others are treated as constants. For example,

$$\int Kx^2 \, dx = \frac{K}{3}x^3 + C,$$

whereas if we wrote $\int Kx^2\,dK$, we would have to treat x as constant, so the answer is

$$\int Kx^2 \, dK = \frac{x^2}{2}K^2 + C.$$

Similarly,

$$\int x^n \, dx = \frac{1}{(n+1)} x^{n+1} + C,$$

while

$$\int x^n \, dn = \frac{x^n}{\ln(x)} + C.$$

(We usually try to avoid using n as the variable of integration, because usually n stands for an integer variable.)

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Proposition 3.5 (Linearity of the integral). (i)

$$\int Kf(x)\,dx = K\int f(x)\,dx$$

where K is any scalar (constant). This includes the case of K < 0, for example

$$\int -f(x)\,dx = -\int f(x)\,dx.$$

(ii)

$$\int f(x) + g(x) \, dx = \int f(x) \, dx + \int g(x) \, dx.$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < ≡ > < ≡ > < ≡ > = 276/424

Proof.

These both follow from linearity of differentiation: say

$$\int f(x) \, dx = F(x) + C,$$

which means that F'(x) = f(x). Then:

(i) We know that $(KF)^\prime(x)=KF^\prime(x)=Kf(x),$ so that

$$\int Kf(x) \, dx = KF(x) + D, \quad D \text{ any constant.}$$

(ii) Suppose likewise that G'(x) = g(x), so that

$$\int g(x) \, dx = G(x) + E, \quad E \text{ any constant.}$$

Then (F+G)'(x) = F'(x) + G'(x) = f(x) + g(x). Thus, (avoiding writing the constants of integration), we have

$$\int f(x) + g(x) \, dx = F(x) + G(x) = \int f(x) \, dx + \int g(x) \, dx.$$

By contrast, note that

$$\int f(x)g(x) \, dx \neq \int f(x) \, dx \int g(x) \, dx$$

and

$$\int \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} \, dx \neq \int f(x) \, dx \Big/ \int g(x) \, dx$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

(Because analogous formulae don't hold for differentiation.) This is one of the things that makes integration interesting!